

# The Correctness of a Code Generator for a Functional Language

Nathanaël Courant

September 8, 2017

- PVS: interactive proof assistant
- Specification language almost completely executable
- Can be used to write and formally verify programs
- Slow → generate efficient executable code (PVS2C)
- Objective: formally verify PVS2C
- Idealized version
- Related work: CompCert, CakeML

A Small Functional Language  
Evaluation with Reference Counting  
A Small Imperative Language  
Formalization in PVS

# Expressions and contexts

let  $a = t[i]$  in let  $b = t[j]$  in let  $t' = t[i \mapsto b]$  in  $t'[j \mapsto a]$

$e ::= | n$

|  $x$

| `nil`

|  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

| let  $(x : \text{int}^{\star n}) = e_1$  in  $e_2$

| ifnz  $x$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$

|  $x[y]$

|  $x[y \mapsto z]$

| `newint`( $n$ ) | `newref`( $n$ )

| `pop`( $e_1$ ) | `ref`( $k$ )

$K ::= | \square | \text{pop}(K_1)$

| let  $(x : \text{int}^{\star n}) = K_1$  in  $e_1$

$v ::= | n | \text{nil} | \text{ref}(k)$

$r ::= | x$

|  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

|  $x[y]$

|  $x[y \mapsto z]$

| `newint`( $n$ )

| `newref`( $n$ )

| ifnz  $x$  then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$

| let  $x = v$  in  $e$

| `pop`( $v$ )

# Decomposition theorem

- Fill context with expression: replace hole  $\square$  by expression, denoted  $Ke$
- $K = \text{let } x = \square \text{ in } f(x), e = 42 \rightarrow Ke = \text{let } x = 42 \text{ in } f(x)$

## Theorem (Decomposition theorem)

*If  $e$  is not a value, there exists a unique decomposition  $e = Kr$  with  $K$  a context,  $r$  a redex.*

$(e, S, \mathcal{M})$

- $e$  an expression,
- $S$  the stack: maps variables  $\rightarrow$  values,
- $\mathcal{M}$  the store: maps references  $\rightarrow$  arrays of values.

# Reduction of $FL$

- Defined on redexes
- Context-preserving: if  $(e, S, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (e', S', \mathcal{M}')$ , then  $(Ke, S, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (Ke', S', \mathcal{M}')$
- Deterministic

Exemples:

$$(x, S, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (S(x), S, \mathcal{M})$$

$$(x[y \mapsto z], S, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{new}(\mathcal{M}), S, \mathcal{M}[\mathbf{new}(\mathcal{M}) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(S(x))[S(y) \mapsto S(z)]])$$

$$(\mathbf{let } x = v \mathbf{ in } e, S, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{pop}(e), \mathbf{push}(x, v, S), \mathcal{M})$$

A Small Functional Language  
**Evaluation with Reference Counting**  
A Small Imperative Language  
Formalization in PVS



- Count number of times each reference appears
- $\#(S, x)$ : number of times  $x$  appears in  $S$
- Invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\text{ref}(k)) &= \mathbb{1}_{\text{ref}(k) \in e} + \#(S, \text{ref}(k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\text{ref}(s) \in \mathcal{M}} \#(\mathcal{M}(\text{ref}(s)), \text{ref}(k)) \end{aligned}$$

- Keep track of reference counts
- Free memory when count becomes 0
- Destructive updates when possible

# Marked variables

- Variables can be marked + new constructor `release`
- Mark last occurrence of variables in each execution path
- Done statically:  $\mathbf{mark}(X, e)$  marks each variable in  $e$  that is not in  $X$

$$\mathbf{mark}(X, x) =$$

$$\begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in X \\ \underline{x} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{mark}(X, \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) =$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{let } x = \mathbf{mark}(X \cup \mathbf{vars}(e_2), e_1) \\ \quad \text{in } \mathbf{mark}(X, e_2) & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{vars}(e_2) \\ \text{let } x = \mathbf{mark}(X \cup \mathbf{vars}(e_2), e_1) \\ \quad \text{in } \text{release}(\underline{x}, \mathbf{mark}(X, e_2)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Example:

$\text{let } x = f(y) \text{ in ifnz } \underline{z} \text{ then } g(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) \text{ else } \text{release}(\underline{y}, f(\underline{x}))$

Invariants preserved, with states  $(e, S, \mathcal{M})$  and  $(e', S', \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{C}')$ :

- Reference count is accurate
- A variable no longer live in  $e'$  is not bound to a reference in  $S'$
- The expression  $e'$  is correctly marked
- All subterms  $\text{release}(x, e_2)$  of  $e'$  have  $x$  marked
- There is a function  $f$  that maps the references in  $\mathcal{M}'$  with count  $> 0$  to references in  $\mathcal{M}$  so that:
  - $e$  is obtained by removing  $\text{release}$  and unmarking variables in  $f(e')$ ,
  - For each variable  $x$  live in  $e'$ ,  $S(x) = f(S'(x))$ ,
  - For each reference  $s$  in  $\mathcal{M}'$  with  $\mathcal{C}'(s) > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(f(s)) = f(\mathcal{M}'(s))$

A Small Functional Language  
Evaluation with Reference Counting  
A Small Imperative Language  
Formalization in PVS

$$\{\text{int } z; \{z := x[\underline{y}]; \text{result} := \underline{x}[z]\}\}$$

	$s ::=$	$  x := e$
$e ::=$	$  n$	$  \text{ifnz } x \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2$
	$  x$	$  \text{skip}$
	$  \text{nil}$	$  \{s_1; s_2\}$
	$  f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$	$  \text{release } x$
	$  x[y]$	$  \{\text{int}^*{}^n x; s\}$
	$  x[y \mapsto z]$	
	$  \text{newint}(n)$	$\text{decl} ::= \text{int}^*{}^n x$
	$  \text{newref}(n)$	$\text{function} ::= (\text{name}, \text{decl}^*, s)$
		$\text{program} ::= \text{function}^*$

Fixed program

$(\mathcal{R}, S, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$

- $S$  is the stack,
- $\mathcal{M}$  is the store,
- $\mathcal{C}$  is the reference count,
- $\mathcal{R}$  is the call stack: stack of (function, program counter, local depth)

- Extract statement at current program counter
- Reduce statement
- For assignments, except calls: like *RL* but store result

- Return variable:  $\mathbf{translate}(e, x)$  sets  $x$  to result of  $e$

$$\mathbf{translate}(y[z \mapsto w], x) = x := y[z \mapsto w]$$

$$\mathbf{translate}(\mathbf{ifnz} \ y \ \mathbf{then} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_2, x) =$$

$$\mathbf{ifnz} \ y \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathbf{translate}(e_1, x) \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{translate}(e_2, x)$$

$$\mathbf{translate}(\mathbf{let} \ (y : \mathbf{int}^*{}^n) = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2, x) =$$

$$\{\mathbf{int}^*{}^n \ y; \{\mathbf{translate}(e_1, y); \{\mathbf{skip}; \mathbf{translate}(e_2, x)\}\}\}$$



- Reconstruct redex and context from call stack,
- Reconstruct mapping for the stack variables,
- Store and counts the same

## Program

swap( $t, i, j$ )

```

0{int  $a$ ;1  $a := t[i]$ ;2 skip;
3{int  $b$ ;4  $b := t[j]$ ;5 skip;
6{int*  $t'$ ;7  $t' := \underline{t}[i \mapsto b]$ ;8 skip;
9result :=  $\underline{t}'[j \mapsto \underline{a}]$ 10}11}12}13

```

→ (pop<sup>4</sup>(□), let  $b = 1$  in  
 let  $t' = \underline{t}[i \mapsto b]$  in  $\underline{t}'[j \mapsto \underline{a}]$ ))

main()

```

0{int  $z$ ;1  $z := +(y, 1)$ ;2 skip;
3result := swap( $x, \underline{y}, \underline{z}$ )4}5

```

→ pop(□)

## Stack

( $b \mapsto 1, a \mapsto 0,$   
 $j \mapsto 1, i \mapsto 0, t \mapsto r,$   
 result  $\mapsto$  undef, ||  
 $z \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 0, x \mapsto r,$   
 result  $\mapsto$  undef, ||  
 result  $\mapsto$  undef)

## Store

( $r \mapsto \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ )

## Count

( $r \mapsto 2$ )

Stack

Expression

```
pop5(  
  let  $b = 1$  in  
  let  $t' = \underline{t}[i \mapsto \underline{b}]$  in  
   $\underline{t}'[j \mapsto \underline{a}]$ )
```

```
( $b \mapsto 1, a \mapsto 0,$   
 $j \mapsto 1, i \mapsto 0, t \mapsto r,$   
result  $\mapsto$  undef, ||  
 $z \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 0, x \mapsto r,$   
result  $\mapsto$  undef, ||  
result  $\mapsto$  undef)
```

Store

```
( $r \mapsto \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ )
```

Count

```
( $r \mapsto 2$ )
```

Stack

$(a \mapsto 0,$   
 $j \mapsto 1, i \mapsto 0, t \mapsto r,$   
 $z \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 0, x \mapsto r)$

Expression

$\text{pop}^5(\text{let } b = 1 \text{ in}$   
 $\text{let } t' = \underline{t}[i \mapsto \underline{b}] \text{ in}$   
 $\underline{t}'[j \mapsto \underline{a}])$

Store

$(r \mapsto \langle 0, 1 \rangle)$

Count

$(r \mapsto 2)$

A Small Functional Language  
Evaluation with Reference Counting  
A Small Imperative Language  
Formalization in PVS

- Proof  $FL \rightarrow RL$  translation both with and without typing
- Actually a 4<sup>th</sup> language:  $RL$  with several steps at once
- $\approx 7k$  lines definitions or theorems, proof files  $\approx 310k$  lines long
- Code available at  
<https://github.com/SRI-CSL/PVSCodegen>
- Done before my internship: most definitions of  $FL$ , without proofs

# Problems caused by PVS

- PVS is slow: proofs take a long time to check
- PVS has bugs: could prove `false`
- Proofs of TCCs get misplaced after typechecking again

→ but allowed to detect those bugs

# The proved theorems

```
bisimulation_lemma: THEOREM
  NOT tS`state`error AND
  NOT trS`state`error AND
    defs_well_typed(D, tS`def_types) AND
    state_matches?(typed_to_topstate(tS),
      typed_to_topstate(trS)) IMPLIES
      state_matches?(typed_reduce(D)(tS),
        typed_reduce_n(D)(
          top_releases_ct(trS`state`redex) + 1, trS))
```



# The proved theorems

```
bisimulation_lemma_i: THEOREM
  NOT trS1`state`error AND NOT trS2`state`error AND
  defs_well_typed(D, trS1`def_types) AND
  iastate_matches(trS1, trS2) IMPLIES
  EXISTS (n: posnat):
    iastate_matches(typed_reduce_n(D) (n, trS1),
      typed_iareduce(D) (trS2))
```

# The proved theorems

```
bisimulation_lemma: LEMMA
  FORALL (D, (trS |
    defs_well_typed(D, trS`def_types)), iS):
  NOT iS`error AND
    state_matches(D, trS, iS) IMPLIES
      (state_matches(D, trS, reduce(iS)) AND
        max_inst_steps(reduce(iS)) < max_inst_steps(iS))
  OR
    state_matches(D, typed_iareduce(D)(trS),
      reduce(iS))
```

- Verified code generation from a functional language to an imperative one
- Includes garbage collection and destructive updates
- Article to be submitted to CPP 2018
- Future work: Verify *IL* to C translation, add first-class functions and closures, try to verify completely PVS2C