# Camlboot: debootstrapping the OCaml compiler

Nathanaëlle Courant (OCamlPro, Inria) Julien Lepiller (Yale University) Gabriel Scherer (Inria)

Ten Years of Guix - 16 September 2022



Camlboot: debootstrapping the OCaml compiler

### About debootstrapping

The architecture of Camlboot Interpreting OCaml The MiniML language and compiler Results



- Source file: preferred form for human editing and understanding
- Self-bootstrapping a compiler: compiling it with itself
   Need (non-source) binaries of the compiler to build the compiler
- Debootstrapping a compiler: building a compiler without using its self-bootstrapped binaries



*Trusting trust attack*: bugs (or malicious code) can reproduce themselves through bootstrap binaries:

- some bugs seen in the wild, rarely reported,
- proofs of concept in Rust and Go,
- Induc virus: reproduces itself through Delphi compilers, discovered in the the wild in 2009, fortunately harmless!



Diverse Double Compilation (DDC): use an independent compiler B to check that a deterministic compiler A is free from trusting-trust attacks.

- Compile A with both A and B
   different binaries, but semantics should be the same.
- ► Compile A with the resulting binaries ⇒ should get the same output.



License question: is software free if:

- you need a proprietary compiler to build it?
- you need a proprietary compiler to build its compiler?
- there is no way to build it without using binaries at some point?



Reproducible builds: bit-for-bit identical results for software built twice in the same environment, allows caching and verification.

- Can it be trusted if the environment already contains the output?
- Is research really reproductible if it needs to know the result to reproduce it?



Semantics question: can we really specify the semantics of a program when some of it is hidden inside the compiler binary (and not source)?

```
let unescape_char c =
  match c with
  | 'n' -> '\n'
  | 't' -> '\t'
  [...]
```



- Legacy path: replay compilation using a chain of old implementations
- Tailored path: use new implementations to shorten the chain

Key metric: total human work required

Writing a new implementation can be faster than finding and making old implementations work (also, much more interesting).



### About debootstrapping

### The architecture of Camlboot

Interpreting OCaml The MiniML language and compiler Results



interp: An interpreter for almost all of OCaml, able to run the OCaml compiler

- Written in MiniML, a subset of OCaml
- Reuses the OCaml parser and lexer
- minicomp: A compiler from MiniML to OCaml bytecode
  - Written in Scheme
  - Very naïve

A handwritten lexer to solve the bootstrap of ocamllex



T-diagram: graphical depiction of source file, output file, and compiler





# Building OCaml 4.07





### Building OCaml with Camlboot



OCaml PRO

#### Camlboot: debootstrapping the OCaml compiler

About debootstrapping The architecture of Camlboot Interpreting OCaml The MiniML language and compiler Results



- Interprets the untyped syntax tree.
- Supports almost all of OCaml.
- A few approximations when the semantics depend on typing.
- Written in MiniML,  $\approx 3000$  lines of code, uses the parser from the OCaml compiler.



# Why use OCaml (MiniML) instead of Scheme?

- Reuse the OCaml runtime primitives
   ⇒ simplifies the interpreter a lot.
- Writing a parser for the full OCaml language is complex
   ⇒ reuse the existing parser.
- A reference interpreter would be useful to the community.

```
let prims = [
 [...]
 ("caml_md5_chan",
 prim2
    Digest.channel
    unwrap_in_channel
    unwrap_int
    wrap_string);
 [...]
]
```

OCaml PRO

About debootstrapping The architecture of Camlboot Interpreting OCaml The MiniML language and compiler Results



Camlboot: debootstrapping the OCaml compiler

- Compiled to OCaml bytecode (ZINC abstract machine): can use runtime primitives, closures are easy to compile.
- No support for most advanced features.
- Deciding whether to support a feature or not:
  - Is it used in the interpreter?
  - Is it less work to support it than to remove its use in the interpreter?



- Two-pass compiler, written in Scheme,  $\approx 3300$  lines of code
- First pass (*lowering*): pattern matching compilation, labeled arguments reordering, records and constructors turned into tagged blocks
- Second pass: compilation to bytecode, direct output to file with backpatching as necessary



About debootstrapping The architecture of Camlboot Interpreting OCaml The MiniML language and compiler Results



- ▶ We performed diverse double compilation for OCaml 4.07.1.
- OCaml 4.07.1 is free of trusting trust attacks!



First: basic build, interpreted ocamlopt directly compiles ocamlc

	First	Optimized	Parallel
ocamlrun	1m		
interp.minibyte	2m		
interp.opt	not built		
stdlib.opt	4h40m		
ocamlc.opt	25h40m		
Total	30h23m		



- First: basic build, interpreted ocamlopt directly compiles ocamlc
- Optimized: compile the interpreter with interpreted ocamlopt to speed up further steps

	First	Optimized	Parallel
ocamlrun	1m	1m	
interp.minibyte	2m	2m	
interp.opt	not built	8h56m	
stdlib.opt	4h40m	48m	
ocamlc.opt	25h40m	4h08m	
Total	30h23m	13h55m	



- First: basic build, interpreted ocamlopt directly compiles ocamlc
- Optimized: compile the interpreter with interpreted ocamlopt to speed up further steps
- Parallel: optimized build, running on 4 cores/8 threads

	First	Optimized	Parallel
ocamlrun	1m	1m	1m
interp.minibyte	2m	2m	2m
interp.opt	not built	8h56m	2h02m
stdlib.opt	4h40m	48m	23m
ocamlc.opt	25h40m	4h08m	1h31m
Total	30h23m	13h55m	3h59m



- First: basic build, interpreted ocamlopt directly compiles ocamlc
- Optimized: compile the interpreter with interpreted ocamlopt to speed up further steps
- Parallel: optimized build, running on 4 cores/8 threads

	First	Optimized	Parallel
ocamlrun	1m	1m	1m
interp.minibyte	2m	2m	2m
interp.opt	not built	8h56m	2h02m
stdlib.opt	4h40m	48m	23m
ocamlc.opt	25h40m	4h08m	1h31m
Total	30h23m	13h55m	3h59m

Compilation times are large, but still good enough for reproducibility.



With ocamlopt.opt: 1.7s



- With ocamlopt.opt: 1.7s
- ▶ With ocamlopt.byte: 5.8s (3.4x slower)



- With ocamlopt.opt: 1.7s
- ▶ With ocamlopt.byte: 5.8s (3.4x slower)
- With ocamlopt interpreted by interp.opt: 2h30mn (1551x slower)



- With ocamlopt.opt: 1.7s
- ▶ With ocamlopt.byte: 5.8s (3.4x slower)
- With ocamlopt interpreted by interp.opt: 2h30mn (1551x slower)
- With ocamlopt interpreted by interp.minibyte: 13h (5.2x slower)



- With ocamlopt.opt: 1.7s
- ▶ With ocamlopt.byte: 5.8s (3.4x slower)
- With ocamlopt interpreted by interp.opt: 2h30mn (1551x slower)
- With ocamlopt interpreted by interp.minibyte: 13h (5.2x slower)

The cost of interpretation is far superior than the cost of naïve compilation.



- Showed the absence of trusting trust attacks in OCaml 4.07.1.
- Takeaways for the design of OCaml: untyped semantics are good!
- Future work: target newer versions of OCaml.

